

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

MICHAEL N. LUTMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO.  
1:16-CV-1407-ELR-LTW

OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC, et  
al.,

Defendant.

**ORDER FOR SERVICE OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Attached is the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in this action in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and this Court's Local Rule 72.1C. Let the same be filed and a copy, together with a copy of this Order, be served upon counsel for the parties.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party may file written objections, if any, to the report and recommendation **within fourteen (14) days of the receipt of this Order**. Should objections be filed, they shall specify with particularity the alleged error or errors made (including reference by page number to the transcript if applicable) and shall be served upon the opposing party. The party filing objections will be responsible for obtaining and filing the transcript of any evidentiary hearing for review by the district court. If no objections are filed, the report and recommendation may be adopted as the opinion and order of the district court and any appellate review of factual findings

will be limited to a plain error review. United States v. Slay, 714 F.2d 1093 (11th Cir. 1983), cert. denied 464 U.S. 1050, 104 S.Ct. 729, 79 L.Ed.2d 189 (1984). A party failing to object to a magistrate judge's findings or recommendations contained in a report and recommendation in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to challenge on appeal the district court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. Mitchell v. United States, 612 F. App'x 542, 545 (11th Cir. 2015); 11th Cir. R. 3-1. In the absence of a proper objection, however, the appeals court may review the matter on appeal for plain error if necessary in the interest of justice. Mitchell, 612 F. App'x at 545; 11th Cir. R. 3-1.

The Clerk is directed to submit the report and recommendation with objections, if any, to the district court after expiration of the above time period.

**SO ORDERED**, this 15 day of December, 2016.

/s/LINDA T. WALKER  
LINDA T. WALKER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE